

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,206	04/05/2001	John D' Elia	1533.1100001/MAC/DJN 2584		
28393	7590 10/22/2002				
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER		
SUITE 600	·	RAO, MANJUNATH N			
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1652		
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002	(11)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App. 11 = -47	n No			
		Applicatio		Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Summary	09/826,20	6	D' ELIA, JOHN		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication		N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever	nt, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication.		
1)🖂	) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 September 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is n	on-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election red	juirement.			
Application	on Papers					
٦ [[(9	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 April 2001</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	]All b)☐ Some * c)⊡ None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been r	eceived.			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
_ a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional appli	cation has been recei	ived.		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5, 8</u>	4) 5) <u>8, 10</u> . 6)	Interview Summary (I Notice of Informal Pa Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.	04.04)	on Summary		Part of Paner No. 11		

Application/Control Number: 09/826,206

Art Unit: 1652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-30 are currently pending in this application.

## **Priority**

Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged.

## **Drawings**

Drawings submitted in this application are accepted by the Examiner for examination purposes only.

# Sequence Compliance

Applicant is required to comply with the sequence rules by inserting the sequence identification numbers of all sequences recited within the claims and/or specification. It is particularly noted that sequences depicted on page 27 lack SEQ ID NO. See particularly 37 CFR 1.821(d).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 and claims 2-30 which depend directly or indirectly from claim 1 are rejected because the invention appears to employ novel vectors. Even though applicants have deposited

Application/Control Number: 09/826,206

Art Unit: 1652

the vector its public availability is not clear to the Examiner. Since the vectors are essential to the claimed invention, they must be obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification or otherwise be readily available to the public. The claimed plasmids' sequences are not fully disclosed, nor have all the sequences required for their construction been shown to be publicly known and freely available. The enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112 may be satisfied by a deposit of the plasmids. The specification does not disclose a repeatable process to obtain the vectors and it is not apparent if the DNA sequences are readily available to the public. Accordingly, it is deemed that a deposit of these plasmids should have been made in accordance with 37 CFR 1.801-1.809.

Page 3

It is noted that applicants have deposited the organisms but there is no indication in the specification as to public availability. If the deposit was made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by applicants, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number, stating that the specific strain has been deposited under the Budapest Treaty and that the strain will be irrevocably and without restriction or condition released to the public upon the issuance of the patent, would satisfy the deposit requirement made herein.

If the deposit has not been made under the Budapest treaty, then in order to certify that the deposit meets the criteria set forth in 37 CFR 1.801-1.809, applicants may provide assurance or compliance by an affidavit or declaration, or by a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number, showing that:

1. during the pendency of this application, access to the invention will be afforded to the Commissioner upon request;

Application/Control Number: 09/826,206

Art Unit: 1652

2. all restrictions upon availability to the public will be irrevocably removed upon granting of the patent;

- 3. the deposit will be maintained in a public repository for a period of 30 years or 5 years after the last request or for the effective life of the patent, whichever is longer; and
  - 4. the deposit will be replaced if it should ever become inviable.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 and claims 2-30 depending from claim 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is drawn to an isolated or purified nucleic acid molecule comprising a polynucleotide having a nucleotide sequence which is at least 95% identical to a nucleotide sequence of a *Ketogulonigenium* plasmid replicon found on the endogenous plasmid contained in the NRRL deposit. However, applicants do not provide the specific region in terms of the nucleotide number or position or the region at which the "replicon" sequence starts and ends. Without such identifying characteristics of the replicon found on the endogenous plasmid, it would be impossible for the Examiner to search for sequences which are 95% identical said replicon. Therefore the claim is considered indefinite or unclear.

Claim 7 and claims 8-9 depending from claim 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

Application/Control Number: 09/826,206 Page 5

Art Unit: 1652

subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 7 is drawn to a nucleic acid comprising a "mob region". It is not clear to the Examiner as to what applicants mean by using the above phrase. A perusal of the specification does not provide a specific definition for the above phrase either rendering the claim unclear.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 21 recites the limitation "*E.coli* derived plasmid" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 23 recites the limitation "reporter gene" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 24 recites the phrase "and at least one organism". The use of the above phrase renders the claim unclear. Amending the above phrase as "and at least <u>in</u> one organism" would overcome this rejection.

Page 6

## Conclusion

None of the claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-306-5681. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 a.m. to 4.00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0196.

Manjunath N. Rao October 18, 2002 MANJUNATH RAO
PATENT EXAMINER